

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/663,804	09/17/2003	Atsushi Togami	242924US2	3764
	22850 7590 05/20/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREE ALEXANDRIA, V	TREET		MENBERU, BENIYAM	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2625	
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				05/20/2008	EI ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/663,804	TOGAMI ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
BENIYAM MENBERU	2625				

	BENIYAM MENBERU	2625							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.							
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which place application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.3.1; or (3) a Regr for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 in the contract of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection of the final rejection.									
NOTICE OF APPEAL									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of the properties. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the							
AMENDMENTS									
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 									
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
non-allowable claim(s).									
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		i be entered and an e	xpianation of						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.23 and 24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be netired because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to proshowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not be ariler presented. See 37 CF1.3(3)(f)(1).									
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	condition for allowan	ce because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
/Mark K Zimmerman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Beniyam Menberu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant added the limitations "a scanner unit that reads image data from a document and is configured to perform background removal processing on the image data; an instruction reception unit that receives instruction information from a user on whether to perform background removal processing for the image data at the scanner unit:

wherein, the content determination unit changes a type of image processing to be performed at the image processing unit based on the instruction information from the user in claims 1 and 24 and "reading image data from a document; receiving instruction information from a user on whether to perform background removal processing for the image data at a scanner unit, wherein, the determining the type of image processing is based on the instruction information from the user " in claims 21 and 23, which raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.